



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/522,847

01/28/2005

Martin J. Edwards

GB02 0119 US

9356

24738

7590

09/06/2007

PHILIPS ELECTRONICS NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION  
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS  
370 W. TRIMBLE ROAD MS 91/MG  
SAN JOSE, CA 95131

EXAMINER

CARTER III, ROBERT E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2629

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/06/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No. 10/522,847	Applicant(s) EDWARDS ET AL.	
	Examiner Robert E. Carter	Art Unit 2629	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01/28/2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01/28/2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Priority***

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### ***Drawings***

2. Fig. 6 is objected to because the rectangular boxes are not labeled as required by Rule 1.83.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 2629

5. Claims 1-13, 15-18, and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murai et al. (JP 2001-305511) in view of Akimoto et al. (US Publication # 2002/0084967).

As for claim 1, Murai et al. (Figs. 1, 6) discloses:

*A device comprising an array of pixels (1002), each pixel including a pixel element (1102) and being associated with a switching circuit (11, 12, 13, 14), wherein the switching circuit is for selectively routing one of at least two inputs (3, 1012) to the pixel element, comprising at least first (13) and second switching transistors (14) connected between a respective one of the at least two inputs and the pixel element, wherein each switching transistor is controlled by a data signal applied to the gate of the transistor, wherein the data signal for each switching transistor is routed to the gate of the switching transistor with predetermined timing determined in dependence on the data waveform of at least one of the inputs, and*

The gates of switching transistors 13 and 14 are both connected to the output of transistor 11. The input of transistor 11 is the data signal from the data line 1. The gate of transistor 11 is controlled by input line 51. Transistors 13 and 14 are complementary such that when one is off, the other is on and vice versa. Therefore transistors 13 and 14 are controlled by the data signal routed to their gates through transistor 11 with predetermined timing in dependence on the data waveform of input line 51.

Murai et al. does not teach a capacitive connection between the gate and output of one of the switching transistors.

Art Unit: 2629

In the same field of endeavor (i.e. in-pixel driver circuits) Akimoto et al. (Fig. 25) discloses:

*wherein a capacitive connection (88) is provided between the gate of at least one of the switching transistors and an output of the switching transistor [0204].*

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the bootstrap capacitor in Akimoto et al. to at least one of the switching transistors in Murai et al. to reduce the power consumption of the liquid crystal display [0001].

As for claim 2, Murai et al. as modified by Akimoto et al. teaches:

*wherein the data signal for each switching transistor is routed to the gate of the switching transistor by a transfer switch (11) which controls the timing of application of the data signal for each switching transistor, and*

The gates of switching transistors 13 and 14 are both connected to the output of transistor 11. The input of transistor 11 is the data signal from the data line 1. The gate of transistor 11 is controlled by input line 51. Transistors 13 and 14 are complementary such that when one is off, the other is on and vice versa. Therefore transistors 13 and 14 are controlled by the data signal routed to their gates through transistor 11 with predetermined timing in dependence on the data waveform of input line 51.

*wherein a capacitive connection is provided between the gate of each switching transistor and the output of each switching transistor.*

Art Unit: 2629

As for claim 3, Murai et al. as modified by Akimoto et al. teaches:

*wherein a capacitive connection (88) is provided between the gate of each switching transistor and an output of the switching circuit (11-14).*

As for claim 4, Murai et al. as modified by Akimoto et al. teaches:

*wherein the gates of the first and second switching transistors (13-14) are connected together and the capacitive connection comprises a capacitor connected between the gates and an output of the switching circuit (11-14).*

As for claim 5, Murai et al. teaches:

*wherein the first switching transistor is an n-type transistor (13) and the second switching transistor is a p-type (14) transistor [0031].*

As for claim 6, Murai et al. as modified by Akimoto et al. teaches:

*wherein the capacitive connection comprises a respective capacitor (88) connected between the gate of each switching transistor and an output of the switching circuit.*

As for claim 7, Murai et al. teaches:

*comprising  $n$  inputs (3, 1012, 1), where  $n$  is greater than 2, and comprising first to  $n$ th switching transistors (13, 14, 1012) connected between a respective one of the  $n$  inputs and the pixel element, and wherein the data signals for each switching transistor are selected such that an individual one of the switching transistors is turned on to route the respective input to the pixel element.*

As for claim 8, Murai et al. teaches:

*wherein at least one of the switching transistors is n-type (13) and at least one of the switching transistors in p-type (14), [0031].*

Art Unit: 2629

As for claim 9, Murai et al. as modified by Akimoto et al. teaches:

*wherein all switching transistors are of the same polarity type (All of the transistors in Fig. 25 of Akimoto et al. are of the same type).*

As for claim 10, Murai et al. teaches:

*comprising  $n$  inputs, and comprising first to  $n$ th switching transistors connected between a respective one of the  $n$  inputs and one of two intermediate outputs (61, 62), and wherein the data signals for each switching transistor are selected such that half of the switching transistors are turned on to route a first selected input to one intermediate output and to route a second selected input to the other intermediate output.*

As for claim 11, Murai et al. teaches:

*further comprising a switching circuit (61, 62) for selectively routing one of the intermediate outputs to the pixel element.*

As for claim 12, Murai et al. teaches:

*an active matrix liquid crystal display device ([0011] an active matrix display being one that contains TFTs in the pixel area) in which the pixel elements comprise liquid crystal cells [0004], each pixel comprising the switching circuit for routing one of two voltage drive levels (3, 1012) to the pixel element.*

As for claim 13, Murai et al. teaches:

*a first selection switch (15) between the common output of the switching circuit and the liquid crystal cell of the pixel; and  
a second selection switch (1101) between an analogue pixel data line (1) and the liquid*

Art Unit: 2629

*crystal cell of the pixel.*

As for claim 15, Murai et al. teaches:

*wherein the control signal for selecting which one of the two voltage drive levels is to be routed to the pixel element is provided on the analogue pixel data line.*

As for claim 16, Murai et al. as modified by Akimoto et al. teaches:

*wherein the data signal for each switching transistor is routed to the gate of the switching transistor by a transfer switch (11) which controls the timing of application of the data signal for each switching transistor, and wherein the transfer switch is provided between the analogue pixel data line and the gates of the first and second switching transistors, and*

The gates of switching transistors 13 and 14 are both connected to the output of transistor 11. The input of transistor 11 is the data signal from the data line 1. The gate of transistor 11 is controlled by input line 51. Transistors 13 and 14 are complementary such that when one is off, the other is on and vice versa. Therefore transistors 13 and 14 are controlled by the data signal routed to their gates through transistor 11 with predetermined timing in dependence on the data waveform of input line 51.

*wherein a capacitive connection is provided between the gate of each switching transistor and the output of each switching transistor.*

As for claim 17, Murai et al. teaches:

*a first selection switch (15) between the output of the at least one of the switching transistors and the liquid crystal cell of the pixel; and*



Art Unit: 2629

*a second selection switch (1101) between an analogue pixel data line and the liquid crystal cell of the pixel.*

As for claim 18, Murai et al. teaches:

*wherein the second selection switch comprises the other of the first and second switching transistors.*

Since Murai et al. teaches both the second switching transistor 14 and the second selection switch 1101 in the same circuit, and because transistor 1101 has all of the functionality of the second switching transistor 14 as defined in preceding claims upon which claim 18 depends, defining the second selection switch as also being the second switching transistor of claim 1 is simply a matter of renaming a pre-existing part

As for claim 20, this claim differs from claims 1-2 only in that claim 20 is a method whereas claims 1-2 is an apparatus. Thus claim 20 is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to apparatus claims 1-2 above.

However, claim 20 also includes the limitations of turning on one transistor while turning off the other transistor, and controlling the timing such that the capacitive connection reduces the voltage swing.

Murai et al. as modified by Akimoto et al. teaches:

*to turn on a selected one of the first and second switching transistors and turn off the other of the first and second switching transistor, thereby routing the respective input to the pixel element,*

The gates of switching transistors 13 and 14 are both connected to the output of transistor 11. The input of transistor 11 is the data signal from the data line 1.

Transistors 13 and 14 are complementary such that when one is off, the other is on and vice versa. Therefore transistors 13 and 14 are controlled by the data signal routed to their gates through transistor 11 such that when one is on, the other is off and vice versa, thereby routing the respective input to the pixel element.

*wherein the timing is controlled such that the capacitive connection reduces the required voltage swing in the data signal between that required to turn on and turn off a switching transistor (Akimoto et al. [0204]).*

As for claim 21, Murai et al. teaches:

*in a first mode (Fig. 3), switching analogue pixel drive signals [Fig. 3, (b)] to each pixel of the display; and*

*in a second mode (Fig. 4), routing one of two pixel drive signals [Fig. 4, (b)] on respective inputs to each pixel of the display, the routing for each pixel in the second mode using the method of claim 20.*

1. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murai et al. in view of Akimoto et al. as applied to claims 1, 12-13 above, and further in view of Murai (PCT Publication # WO01/40857) using the US application publication # 2002/0158993 as the English translation (henceforth referred to as Murai '993).

Art Unit: 2629

As for claim 14, Murai et al. as modified by Akimoto et al. teaches all the limitations of claim 13, however they do not teach the two voltage levels for driving the liquid crystal cell to a black and a white state.

In the same field of endeavor (i.e. in-pixel LCD drivers) Murai '993 discloses:  
*wherein the two voltage drive levels comprise voltages for driving the liquid crystal cell to a black and a white state* [0046].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the voltage drive levels in Murai '998 in the LCD display of Murai et al. for driving the display to a black and a white state to reduce power consumption without lowering display quality [0006].

2. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murai et al. in view of Akimoto et al. as applied to claims 1, 12, 17-18 above, and further in view of Senda et al. (US Patent # 5,105,288).

As for claim 19, Murai et al. as modified by Akimoto et al. teaches:  
*wherein in a first mode (Fig. 4), the second selection switch is off, and in a second mode (Fig. 3) the second selection switch provides an analogue pixel signal [Fig. 3(b)] from the analogue pixel data line to the liquid crystal cell.*

Murai et al. as modified by Akimoto et al. does not teach a first mode where the second selection switch provides one of two digital pixel signals from the analogue pixel data line to the liquid crystal cell

In the same field of endeavor (i.e. in-pixel LCD drivers) Senda et al. (Figs. 1, 6) discloses:

*wherein in a first mode (5 on, 8m on), the second selection switch (5) provides one of two digital pixel signals (Fig. 6) from the analogue pixel data line (4m) to the liquid crystal cell (C11), (Col. 3, lines 55-57, Col. 5, lines 36-41).*

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the digital pixel signal in Senda et al. on the analog pixel data line of Murai et al. to to eliminate unevenness in the display and suppress leakage light (Senda et al. Col. 5, lines 41-48).

### **Conclusion**

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Azami et al. (US Patent # 6,958,750) discloses a pixel circuit with bootstrapping.

Lee et al. (US Publication # 2003/0043104) discloses a pixel circuit with bootstrapping.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert E. Carter whose telephone number is 571-270-3006. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chanh Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7772. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

REC

  
CHANH D. NGUYEN  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER